Overall, how would you rate this post-covid conference?
How was the conference length?

- 85% About Right
- 13% Too long
- 2% Too short
How much is your evaluation influenced by the fact, that the conference was one of the biggest onsite post pandemic ones?
How likely is it that you would recommend the conference to a friend or colleague?
How would you rate the following items?

[Registration process]

- **Excellent**: 49%
- **Very Good**: 29%
- **Good**: 16%
- **Fair**: 2%
- **Poor**: 4%
How would you rate the following items?
How would you rate: Symvoli's Team/Operational Excellence?
Would you recommend Symvoli to a colleague?

- Yes: 60%
- Maybe: 27%
- No: 13%
26% Mentioned an issue with the Venue

14% Had issues with speakers not speaking English

13% Suggested pre-registration for Workshops

20% Proposed more coffee breaks or catering options
Other Comments Included:

- Lack of accessibility for disabled persons
- Poor Translator Quality
- Suggested a printed programme
On a positive note...

"Ljubljana is gorgeous, the venues were good and the workshops varied and fascinating. Organisation was great"

"I liked how well-organized the conference was and all the events were reachable by walking distance"

"Excellent people, experts, lecturers"

"The topics were very interesting, live interaction, quality lecturers"

"I very much liked the variety of sessions which were offered. Not only the number of sessions but also the content of topics which were offered, a wide field of very engaging content!"
What did you like the most/ least regarding the conference?

+ Meeting people and exchanging views, experiences
+ The chance given to a lot of professionals to present their work
+ The intercultural exchange, the good mixture of theory and practice in the workshop, the interaction at eye-level between presenters and audience
  + Excellent people, experts, lecturers
+ Variety of speakers, especially renowned guests such as Peter Rober

- The crowded rooms with some workshops
- Not possible to enjoy the seminars and oral sessions (not enough space, seats, air, it was difficult to hear the lecturers due to street noise)
- Lack of anticipation with regard to how many persons were likely to attend a workshop
What was the most/least interesting event that you participated in?

+ A meeting on the future of psychotherapy
+ Peter Rober's talk (which should have been in a much larger venue)
+ All events with Sittwell and Riger
+ Relationship addiction and the trauma of sexual abuse & Multigenerational household of families living with addiction
+ Round table discussion on LGBTQ and non-traditional family and relational contexts

- Panel about research, key speakers at opening on Wednesdays
  - Günter Schiepek
- Mony's tribute was too long. Last afternoon - too dry and flat
  - Dinner at the castle
- Workshops that consumed most of the time in reading to us from the pp
In your choices about what to attend, how did you choose?

> according to personal interest/based on knowledge of the speaker and his/her expertise
> what related to my work and work context and also getting a range of presentations
> title and content description of workshops, rather workshops than panels or lectures
  > applicability of heard in my work / my personal and professional growth
> according to my field of work and personal interests
  > whatever sounded most interesting and helpful
> workshops because of interactive format
  > to follow my heart and intuition